UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/559,726	12/07/2005	Youenn Fablet	01807.107781.	1838
	7590 08/18/200 CELLA HARPER &	EXAMINER		
30 ROCKEFEL	LER PLAZA	HUSSAIN, IMAD		
NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2451	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/18/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Ар	plication No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		10.	/559,726	FABLET, YOUE	FABLET, YOUENN			
		Exa	aminer	Art Unit				
		IMA	AD HUSSAIN	2451				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	ication appears	on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence a	ddress			
A SHO WHIC - Exten after: - If NO - Failur Any n	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr period for reply is specified above, the maximum st e to reply within the set or extended period for reply sply received by the Office later than three months a d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DATE of 37 CFR 1.136(a). nunication. atutory period will app will, by statute, cause	OF THIS COMMUN In no event, however, may a ly and will expire SIX (6) MO the application to become A	IICATION. The reply be timely filed ENTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)☑	Responsive to communication(s) file	ad on 25 lune 2	νηρα					
· —	•	2b)⊠ This actio						
—		<i>′</i> —		ttore proceedation as to th	no morite ie			
-	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	closed in accordance with the practi	ce under <i>Ex pa</i>	rte Quayle, 1900 C.	D. 11, 433 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🛛)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19,21,28,29 and 32-35</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🖂	i)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19,21,28,29 and 32-35</u> is/are rejected.							
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•						
•	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or elec	ction requirement.					
	on Papers							
	-	o Evaminar						
•	The specification is objected to by th The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		d or b)□ objected to	by the Everniner				
-	- · ·	•	·	-				
	Applicant may not request that any obje				CED 4 404(4)			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including		•		, ,			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice Notice (3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (For the properties of Draftsperson's Patent (S) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	Paper No	r Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date · Informal Patent Application 				

Art Unit: 2451

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's amendment filed 25 June 2009 has been received and made of record.

- 2. Claims 1, 4, 12, 13, 17-19, 21, 28 and 29 have been amended. Claims 20 and 22 have been cancelled.
- 3. Applicant's amendments to the claims overcome previously raised claim objections.
- 4. Claims 1-19, 21, 28, 29 and 32-35 are pending in Application 10/559726.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-19, 21, 28, 29 and 32-35 under 35 USC 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive.

Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Jose Martinez et al. (MPEG-7: The Generic Multimedia Content Description Standard, Part 1, hereinafter Martinez).

Applicant argues that the previously cited references do not teach or suggest *extracting*, from the binary multimedia document, a content description of the binary multimedia document and that characteristics of the extracted content description correspond to the abstract constraints extracted from the service description document, as recited in Claim 1.

Examiner agrees with Applicant's assessment. However, Martinez teaches extracting, from the binary multimedia document, a content description of the binary multimedia document [Martinez: Page 82 Column 1 Paragraph 2, "we can physically locate MPEG-7 Descriptions with the associated multimedia material..." and Page 96 Column 1 Paragraph 3, "Binary Format for MPEG-7 data"] and that characteristics of the extracted content description correspond to the abstract constraints extracted from the service description document [Martinez: Page 82 Column 1 Paragraph 2 and Page 85 Column 1 Paragraph 1, "XML Schema"].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-7 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robert Chinnici et al. (*Web Services Description Language* (*WSDL*) *V1.2*, hereinafter *Chinnici*) in view of Liang H. Hsu et al. (US 2003/0051216, hereinafter *Hsu*) in further view of Jose Martinez et al. (*MPEG-7: The Generic Multimedia Content Description Standard, Part 1*, hereinafter *Martinez*).

Regarding claim 1, Chinnici discloses a computer-readable storage medium storing control logic for causing a computer to implement a method of offering a service,

described in a service description document [Chinnici: Abstract], in a communication network, said method comprising:

-a first abstract part ["abstract functionality"] adapted to describe at least one message ["describes Web services starting with the messages... described abstractly"] exchanged over the communication network when the service is implemented [Chinnici: Page 5 Section 1 Paragraphs 2-3], wherein said first abstract part comprises a description of abstract constraints ["key constraints" and "message parts and their constraints"] associated with a document [Chinnici: Page 32 Section 2.13 Paragraph 2 and Page 36 Section 3.2 Paragraph 1]; and

-a second concrete part ["framework for describing the concrete details... for SOAP 1.2"] adapted to describe information relating to transmission of the messages over the communication network [Chinnici: Page 5 Section 1 Paragraphs 2-3, "messages... bound to a concrete network protocol and message format"],

Chinnici does not explicitly disclose:

-extracting, from the document, the parts and content description if abstract constraints associated with the multimedia document are existent:

-comparing the content description and the description of the abstract constraints; and

-transmitting an error message, if the content description does not satisfy the abstract constraints.

However, Hsu discloses:

Page 5

-extracting, from the document, the parts and content description if abstract constraints associated with the multimedia document are existent [Hsu: Paragraph 0005];

-comparing the content description and the description of the abstract constraints; and [Hsu: Paragraph 0053 and Claim 4]

-transmitting an error message, if the content description does not satisfy the abstract constraints [Hsu: Claim 2].

Chinnici and Hsu are analogous art in the same field of endeavor as both deal with XML schemas for service description and delivery. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the validation scheme of Hsu for validating documents and alerting users of errors in the system of Chinnici. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify the system of Chinnici with the validation scheme of Hsu because in doing so, the system would allow users to catch and correct document errors.

The combination of Chinnici and Hsu (hereinafter *Chinnici-Hsu*) does not explicitly disclose that the document is a *binary multimedia document*.

However, Martinez teaches *the binary multimedia document* [Martinez: Page 82 Column 1 Paragraph 2, "we can physically locate MPEG-7 Descriptions with the associated multimedia material..." and Page 96 Column 1 Paragraph 3, "Binary Format for MPEG-7 data"].

Chinnici-Hsu and Martinez are analogous art in the same field of endeavor as both deal with XML schemas for representing multimedia data. It would have been

obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the MPEG-7 scheme of Martinez for multimedia documents in the system of Chinnici-Hsu. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify the system of Chinnici-Hsu with the MPEG-7 scheme of Martinez because in doing so, the system would adhere to multimedia XML standards.

Regarding claim 2, the combination of Chinnici-Hsu and Martinez (hereinafter *Chinnici-Hsu-Martinez*) discloses that said description of the abstract constraints is represented using the semantics of a description language of a content of the binary multimedia document [Martinez: Page 83, "MPEG-7 DDL"].

Regarding claim 3, Chinnici-Hsu-Martinez discloses that said description of abstract constraints is represented using the semantics defined by a Moving Picture Experts Group 7 (MPEG7) standard [Martinez: Page 83, "MPEG-7 DDL"].

Regarding claim 4, Chinnici-Hsu-Martinez discloses that said description of abstract constraints is represented in a mark-up language of the Extensible Mark-up Language (XML) type [Martinez: Page 83, sidebar].

Regarding claim 5, Chinnici-Hsu-Martinez discloses that said description of abstract

constraints is represented in a schema language such as XML-Schema [Martinez: Page 85 Column 1 Paragraph 1], tags being defined using the semantics of the Moving Picture Experts Group 7 (MPEG7) standard [Martinez: Page 83, "MPEG-7 DDL"].

Regarding claim 6, Chinnici-Hsu-Martinez discloses that said description of abstract constraints is represented in a description language of a content of the multimedia document, tags being adapted to integrate directly or by reference attributes represented in a schema mark-up language such as XML-Schema [Martinez: Page 83, "MPEG-7 DDL"].

Regarding claim 7, Chinnici-Hsu-Martinez discloses that the description language of a content of the multimedia document is defined according to the Moving Picture Experts

Group 7 (MPEG7) standard [Martinez: Title].

Regarding claim 9, Chinnici-Hsu-Martinez teaches that said description of abstract constraints is inserted in a sub-part of said first abstract part, and is adapted to describe an abstract structure of the messages exchanged [Chinnici: Page 7 Section 2.1.1 Paragraph 1].

Regarding claim 10, Chinnici-Hsu-Martinez teaches that said first abstract part comprises a second sub-part adapted to declare at least one elementary message

pointing to said description of the abstract contents [Chinnici: Page 7 Section 2.1.2 Paragraph 2].

Regarding claim 11, Chinnici-Hsu-Martinez teaches that the elementary message is associated with an attribute [Chinnici: Page 7 Section 2.1.2 Bullet 3] adapted to specify that the message comprises a binary multimedia content type [Martinez: Page 86 Column 1 Paragraph 3].

8. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chinnici-Hsu-Martinez in further view of Jane Hunter et al. (*An Overview of the MPEG-7 Description Definition Language (DDL) Proposals*, hereinafter Hunter).

Regarding claim 8, Chinnici-Hsu-Martinez does not explicitly disclose that *the schema* language is adapted to define a set of minimum constraints.

However, Hunter discloses that said description of abstract constraints is represented in a schema language [Hunter: Page 1 Last Sentence] adapted to define a set of minimum constraints [Hunter: Page 8 Paragraph 3 and sub-bullets].

Chinnici-Hsu-Martinez and Hunter are analogous art in the same field of endeavor as both deal with XML schemas for representing multimedia data. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the MPEG-7 scheme of Hunter for multimedia documents in the system of Chinnici-Hsu-Martinez. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been

motivated to modify the system of Chinnici-Hsu-Martinez with the MPEG-7 scheme of Hunter because in doing so, the system would adhere to multimedia XML standards, including support for multiple media types [Hunter: Page 2 ("Multiple media types")].

9. Claims 12, 19, 20 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Chinnici* in view of *Hsu* in view of Jean-Jacques Moreau (US 2003/0028559, hereinafter *Moreau*) in further view of Mark W. Newman et al. (US 2003/0028559, hereinafter *Newman*) in further view of *Martinez*.

Regarding claims 12 and 29, Chinnici discloses a method of producing a request for a service offered by a server in a communication network, wherein the service is described in a service description document [Chinnici: Page 5 Section 1], the method comprising:

-selecting a first abstract part of the service description document, wherein the first abstract part is adapted to describe at least one message exchanged over the communication network when an operation associated with the service is implemented [Chinnici: Page 5 Section 1];

Chinnici does not explicitly disclose:

- -reading the service description document;
- -comparing the content description and the description of the abstract constraints extracted from the service description document; and

-extracting a description of abstract constraints, wherein the description of the abstract constraints is associated with a binary multimedia document.

-extracting a content description if abstract constraints associated with the multimedia document are existent

However, Hsu teaches:

-reading the service description document [Hsu: Paragraph 0005];

-comparing the content description and the description of the abstract constraints extracted from the service description document [Hsu: Paragraph 0053 and Claim 4]; and

-extracting a description of abstract constraints, wherein the description of the abstract constraints is associated with a binary multimedia document [Hsu: Paragraph 0005]

-extracting a content description if abstract constraints associated with the multimedia document are existent [Hsu: Paragraph 0005].

Chinnici and Hsu are analogous art in the same field of endeavor as both deal with XML schemas for service description and delivery. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the validation scheme of Hsu for validating documents and alerting users of errors in the system of Chinnici. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify the system of Chinnici with the validation scheme of Hsu because in doing so, the system would allow users to catch and correct document errors.

The combination of Chinnici and Hsu does not explicitly disclose:

-producing a request for the server in the communication network, wherein the request includes the binary multimedia document selected;

-transmitting the request to the server, if the content description satisfies the abstract constraints.

However, Moreau teaches:

-producing a request for the server in the communication network, wherein the request includes the binary multimedia document selected [Moreau: Paragraph 0118];

-transmitting the request to the server, if the content description satisfies the abstract constraints [Moreau: Paragraph 0118].

Chinnici-Hsu and Moreau are analogous art in the same field of endeavor as both deal with XML schemas for service description and delivery. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the request scheme of Moreau for requesting services in the system of Chinnici-Hsu. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify the system of Chinnici-Hsu with the request scheme of Moreau because in doing so, the system would allow for transmitting requests along with data and avoid excess delays or filesize increases [Moreau: Paragraph 0014].

The combination of Chinnici-Hsu and Moreau does not explicitly disclose selecting the binary multimedia document according to the description of the abstract constraints.

However, Newman teaches selecting the binary multimedia document according to the description of the abstract constraints [Newman: Paragraph 0138].

Chinnici-Hsu-Moreau and Newman are analogous art in the same field of endeavor as both deal with schemas for service description and delivery. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the filtering scheme of Newman for selecting documents in the system of Chinnici-Hsu-Moreau. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify the system of Chinnici-Hsu-Moreau with the filtering scheme of Newman because in doing so, the system would be prohibited from selecting invalid files.

The combination of Chinnici-Hsu-Moreau and Newman (hereinafter *Chinnici-Hsu-Moreau-Newman*) does not explicitly disclose that the document is a *binary multimedia document*.

However, Martinez teaches the binary multimedia document [Martinez: Page 82 Column 1 Paragraph 2, "we can physically locate MPEG-7 Descriptions with the associated multimedia material..." and Page 96 Column 1 Paragraph 3, "Binary Format for MPEG-7 data"].

Chinnici-Hsu-Moreau-Newman and Martinez are analogous art in the same field of endeavor as both deal with XML schemas for representing multimedia data. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the MPEG-7 scheme of Martinez for multimedia documents in the system of Chinnici-Hsu-Moreau-Newman. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify the system of Chinnici-Hsu-Moreau-Newman with the MPEG-7 scheme of Martinez because in doing so, the system would adhere to multimedia XML standards.

Regarding claim 19, Chinnici discloses a device for producing a request for a service offered by a server in a communication network, wherein the service is described in a service description document [Chinnici: Page 5 Section 1], the device comprising:

-selecting a first abstract part of the service description document, wherein the first abstract part is adapted to describe at least one message exchanged over the communication network when an operation associated with the service is implemented [Chinnici: Page 5 Section 1 Paragraphs 1-3];

Chinnici does not explicitly disclose:

- -reading the service description document;
- -extracting a description of abstract constraints associated with a document from the service description document;
- -extracting a content description if abstract constraints associated with the multimedia document are existent;
- -comparing the content description and the description of the abstract constraints extracted from the service description document;

However, Hsu discloses:

- -reading the service description document [Hsu: Paragraph 0005];
- -extracting a content description if abstract constraints associated with the multimedia document are existent [Hsu: Paragraph 0005];

-extracting a content description associated with the document [Hsu: Paragraph 0005];

-comparing the content description and the description of the abstract constraints extracted from the service description document [Hsu: Paragraph 0053 and Claim 4];

Chinnici and Hsu are analogous art in the same field of endeavor as both deal with XML schemas for service description and delivery. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the validation scheme of Hsu for validating documents and alerting users of errors in the system of Chinnici. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify the system of Chinnici with the validation scheme of Hsu because in doing so, the system would allow users to catch and correct document errors.

The combination of Chinnici and Hsu does not explicitly disclose:

- -a microprocessor;
- -a read only memory adapted to store a program for producing the request for the service; and
- -a random access memory including registers adapted to store variables modified during the execution of the program.
 - -that the document is a binary multimedia document; and
- -means for reading, selecting, extracting, comparing and producing a request for the service offered by the server in the communication network, if the content description satisfies the abstract constraints, wherein the request includes the binary multimedia document selected.

However, Moreau discloses:

-that the document is a binary multimedia document [Moreau: Paragraph 0007 "binary data representing... a digital image"]; and

-means for reading ["CPU"], selecting ["CPU"], extracting ["CPU"], comparing ["CPU"] and producing [Moreau: "CPU" and "Communication Interface", Paragraph 0166 and Figure 5] a request for the service offered by the server in the communication network, if the content description satisfies the abstract constraints, wherein the request includes the binary multimedia document selected [Moreau: Paragraph 0118].

-a microprocessor [Moreau: Paragraph 0166];

-a read only memory adapted to store a program for producing the request for the service [Moreau: Paragraph 0166]; and

-a random access memory including registers adapted to store variables modified during the execution of the program [Moreau: Paragraph 0166].

Chinnici-Hsu and Moreau are analogous art in the same field of endeavor as both deal with XML schemas for service description and delivery. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the hardware implementation of Moreau for physically realizing a service system in the system of Chinnici-Hsu. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify the system of Chinnici-Hsu with the implementation scheme of Moreau because in doing so, the system would allow for physically realizing a service system.

The combination of Chinnici-Hsu and Moreau does not explicitly disclose selecting the binary multimedia document according to the description of the abstract constraints.

However, Newman discloses selecting the binary multimedia document according to the description of the abstract constraints [Newman: Paragraph 0138].

Chinnici-Hsu-Moreau and Newman are analogous art in the same field of endeavor as both deal with schemas for service description and delivery. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the filtering scheme of Newman for selecting documents in the system of Chinnici-Hsu-Moreau. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify the system of Chinnici-Hsu-Moreau with the filtering scheme of Newman because in doing so, the system would be prohibited from selecting invalid files.

The combination of Chinnici-Hsu-Moreau and Newman (hereinafter *Chinnici-Hsu-Moreau-Newman*) does not explicitly disclose that the document is a *binary multimedia document*.

However, Martinez teaches the binary multimedia document [Martinez: Page 82 Column 1 Paragraph 2, "we can physically locate MPEG-7 Descriptions with the associated multimedia material..." and Page 96 Column 1 Paragraph 3, "Binary Format for MPEG-7 data"].

Chinnici-Hsu-Moreau-Newman and Martinez are analogous art in the same field of endeavor as both deal with XML schemas for representing multimedia data. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

Art Unit: 2451

was made to utilize the MPEG-7 scheme of Martinez for multimedia documents in the system of Chinnici-Hsu-Moreau-Newman. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify the system of Chinnici-Hsu-Moreau-Newman with the MPEG-7 scheme of Martinez because in doing so, the system would adhere to multimedia XML standards.

10. Claims 13, 21 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Moreau* in view of *Hsu* in further view of *Chinnici* in further view of *Martinez*.

Regarding claims 13 and 28, Moreau discloses a method of validating a binary multimedia [Moreau: "image"] document when a service offered by a server in a communication network is implemented [Moreau: Paragraphs 0008 and 0116], characterized in that it comprises the following steps [Moreau: Paragraph 0124]:

-acquiring the binary multimedia document [Moreau: Paragraph 0120 and Figure 2 S30];

-implementing the service on binary the multimedia document, if the content description satisfies the abstract constraints [Moreau: Paragraph 0150 and Figure 2 S33].

and -a microprocessor [Moreau: Paragraph 0166];

-a read only memory adapted to store a program for producing the request for the service [Moreau: Paragraph 0166]; and

-a random access memory including registers adapted to store variables modified during the execution of the program [Moreau: Paragraph 0166].

Moreau does not explicitly disclose:

-extracting a description of abstract constraints associated with a binary multimedia document from the service description document;

-extracting a content description if abstract constraints associated with the multimedia document are existent; and

-comparing the content description and the description of abstract constraints extracted from the document.

However, Hsu discloses:

-extracting, from the document, the abstract constraints and content description if abstract constraints associated with the multimedia document are existent [Hsu: Paragraph 0005];

-comparing the content description and the description of the abstract constraints; and [Hsu: Paragraph 0053 and Claim 4]

Moreau and Hsu are analogous art in the same field of endeavor as both deal with XML schemas for service description and delivery. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the validation scheme of Hsu for validating documents and alerting users of errors in the system of Moreau. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify the system of Moreau with the validation scheme of Hsu because in doing so, the system would allow users to catch and correct document errors.

The combination of Moreau and Hsu does not explicitly disclose the service being associated with a service description document.

However, Chinnici discloses the service being associated with a service description document [Chinnici: Page 5 Section 1, "Web Service Description Language provides a model and an XML format for describing Web services"].

Moreau-Hsu and Chinnici are analogous art in the same field of endeavor as both deal with XML schemas for service description and delivery. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the service description document scheme of Chinnici for describing services using XML in the service system of Moreau-Hsu. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify the system of Moreau-Hsu with the service description document scheme of Chinnici because in doing so, the system would allow for standards-compliance and increased interoperability [Chinnici: Page 1 Abstract].

Moreau-Hsu-Chinnici does not explicitly disclose that the document is a *binary* multimedia document.

However, Martinez teaches the binary multimedia document [Martinez: Page 82 Column 1 Paragraph 2, "we can physically locate MPEG-7 Descriptions with the associated multimedia material..." and Page 96 Column 1 Paragraph 3, "Binary Format for MPEG-7 data"].

Chinnici-Hsu-Moreau and Martinez are analogous art in the same field of endeavor as both deal with XML schemas for representing multimedia data. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

Application/Control Number: 10/559,726

Art Unit: 2451

was made to utilize the MPEG-7 scheme of Martinez for multimedia documents in the system of Chinnici-Hsu-Moreau. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify the system of Chinnici-Hsu-Moreau with the MPEG-7 scheme of Martinez because in doing so, the system would adhere to multimedia XML standards.

Regarding claim 21, Moreau discloses a device for validating a multimedia document during the implementation of a service offered by a server in a communication network [Moreau: Paragraphs 0008-0009], the device comprising:

- -a microprocessor [Moreau: Paragraph 0166];
- -a read only memory adapted to store a program for producing the request for the service [Moreau: Paragraph 0166]; and
- -a random access memory including registers adapted to store variables modified during the execution of the program [Moreau: Paragraph 0166].
- -means ["communication interface"] for acquiring the multimedia document [Moreau: Figure 5 (1, 110)];
 - -means ["CPU"] for extracting [Moreau: Figure 5 (100)];
 - -means ["CPU", "RAM"] for comparing [Moreau: Figure 5 (100, 102)].

Moreau does not explicitly disclose:

- -extracting a description of abstract constraints associated with the binary multimedia document from the document;
 - -extracting a content description associated with the multimedia document; and

-comparing the content description and the description of the abstract constraints extracted from the document.

However, Hsu discloses:

-extracting a description of abstract constraints associated with the binary multimedia document from the document [Hsu: Paragraph 0005];

-extracting a content description if abstract constraints associated with the multimedia document are existent [Hsu: Paragraph 0005]; and

-comparing the content description and the description of the abstract constraints extracted from the document [Hsu: Paragraph 0053 and Claim 4].

Moreau and Hsu are analogous art in the same field of endeavor as both deal with XML schemas for service description and delivery. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the validation scheme of Hsu for validating documents and alerting users of errors in the system of Moreau. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify the system of Moreau with the validation scheme of Hsu because in doing so, the system would allow users to catch and correct document errors.

The combination of Moreau and Hsu does not explicitly disclose the service being associated with a service description document

However, Chinnici discloses the service being associated with a service description document [Chinnici: Page 5 Section 1].

Moreau-Hsu and Chinnici are analogous art in the same field of endeavor as both deal with XML schemas for service description and delivery. It would have been

Art Unit: 2451

obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the service description document scheme of Chinnici for describing services using XML in the service system of Moreau-Hsu. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify the system of Moreau-Hsu with the service description document scheme of Chinnici because in doing so, the system would allow for standards-compliance and increased interoperability [Chinnici: Page 1 Abstract].

Moreau-Hsu-Chinnici does not explicitly disclose that the document is a *binary* multimedia document.

However, Martinez teaches *the binary multimedia document* [Martinez: Page 82 Column 1 Paragraph 2, "we can physically locate MPEG-7 Descriptions with the associated multimedia material..." and Page 96 Column 1 Paragraph 3, "Binary Format for MPEG-7 data"].

Chinnici-Hsu-Moreau and Martinez are analogous art in the same field of endeavor as both deal with XML schemas for representing multimedia data. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the MPEG-7 scheme of Martinez for multimedia documents in the system of Chinnici-Hsu-Moreau. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify the system of Chinnici-Hsu-Moreau with the MPEG-7 scheme of Martinez because in doing so, the system would adhere to multimedia XML standards.

Art Unit: 2451

11. Claims 14-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Moreau-Hsu-Chinnici-Martinez* as applied to claim 13 above in further view of *Hunter*.

Regarding claim 14, the combination of Moreau-Hsu-Chinnici and Martinez does not disclose that said description of the abstract constraints is represented in a language describing a content of the multimedia document.

However, Hunter discloses that said description of the abstract constraints is represented in a language describing a content of the multimedia document [Hunter: Title ("MPEG-7 Description Definition Language"), Page 2 ("Multiple media types") and Page 3 ("Validation of constraints")].

Moreau-Hsu-Chinnici-Martinez and Hunter are analogous art in the same field of endeavor as both deal with XML schemas for representing multimedia data. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the MPEG-7 scheme of Hunter for multimedia documents in the system of Moreau-Hsu-Chinnici-Martinez. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify the system of Moreau-Hsu-Chinnici-Martinez with the MPEG-7 scheme of Hunter because in doing so, the system would adhere to multimedia XML standards, including support for multiple media types [Hunter: Page 2 ("Multiple media types")].

Regarding claim 15, the combination of Moreau-Hsu-Chinnici-Martinez and Hunter discloses that the language describing a content of the multimedia document is defined under the Moving Pictures Expert Group (MPEG-7) standard [Hunter: Title ("MPEG-7 Description Definition Language")].

Regarding claim 16, Moreau-Hsu-Chinnici-Martinez-Hunter discloses that, at the said content description extraction step [Hsu: Paragraph 0005 and Moreau: Figure 2 S32-S33 and Paragraph 0018 ("set of data")], an Moving Pictures Expert Group (MPEG-7) description [Hunter: Title ("MPEG-7 Description Definition Language")] of the multimedia document inserted in the multimedia document is extracted.

Regarding claim 17, Moreau-Hsu-Chinnici-Martinez-Hunter discloses that the validation method can be *implemented during a step of selecting the multimedia document to be inserted in a message exchanged during implementation of the service offered by a server in the communication network* [Moreau: Paragraph 149 ("the identification... can be performed at any hierarchical level of the XML document")].

Regarding claim 18, Moreau-Hsu-Chinnici-Martinez-Hunter discloses that the validation method can be *implemented during a step of validating a request received by the server in the communication network for implementing the service described in the service description document* [Moreau: Paragraph 149 ("the identification... can be performed at any hierarchical level of the XML document")].

Art Unit: 2451

12. Claims 32 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Chinnici*, *Hsu* and *Martinez* as applied to claims 5 and 6 in view of Brent A. Carlson et al. (US 2004/0205573, hereinafter *Carlson*).

Regarding claim 32, Chinnici-Hsu-Martinez teaches the method according to claim 5.

Chinnici-Hsu-Martinez does not explicitly disclose that the abstract constraints are represented in a XML-Schema language or in a Relax-NG language.

However, Carlson teaches that the abstract constraints are represented in a XML-Schema language or in a Relax-NG language [Carlson: Paragraph 0004].

Chinnici-Hsu-Martinez and Carlson are analogous art in the same field of endeavor as both deal with XML schemas for service description and delivery. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the language scheme of Carlson for using a standardized XML schema language in the system of Chinnici-Hsu-Martinez. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify the system of Chinnici-Hsu-Martinez with the language scheme of Carlson because in doing so, the system would adhere to XML standards [Carlson: Paragraph 0004].

Regarding claim 33, Chinnici-Hsu-Martinez teaches the method according to claim 6.

Chinnici-Hsu-Martinez does not explicitly disclose that *the attributes are* represented in a XML-Schema language.

However, Carlson teaches that the attributes are represented in a XML-Schema language [Carlson: Paragraph 0004].

Chinnici-Hsu-Martinez and Carlson are analogous art in the same field of endeavor as both deal with XML schemas for service description and delivery. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the language scheme of Carlson for using a standardized XML schema language in the system of Chinnici-Hsu-Martinez. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify the system of Chinnici-Hsu-Martinez with the language scheme of Carlson because in doing so, the system would adhere to XML standards [Carlson: Paragraph 0004].

13. Claim 34 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Chinnici*, *Hsu*, *Martinez* and *Hunter* as applied to claim 8 in view of Brent A. Carlson et al. (US 2004/0205573, hereinafter *Carlson*).

Regarding claim 34, Chinnici-Hsu-Martinez-Hunter teaches the method according to claim 8.

Chinnici-Hsu-Martinez-Hunter does not explicitly disclose that the description of the abstract constraints is represented in a Schematron language.

However, Carlson teaches that the description of the abstract constraints is represented in a Schematron language [Carlson: Paragraph 0004].

Art Unit: 2451

Chinnici-Hsu-Martinez-Hunter and Carlson are analogous art in the same field of endeavor as both deal with XML schemas for service description and delivery. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the language scheme of Carlson for using a standardized XML schema language in the system of Chinnici-Hsu-Martinez-Hunter. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify the system of Chinnici-Hsu-Martinez-Hunter with the language scheme of Carlson because in doing so, the system would adhere to XML standards [Carlson: Paragraph 0004].

14. Claim 35 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Moreau*, *Hsu*, *Chinnici and Martinez* as applied to claim 13 above in view of Ali Tabatabai et al. (US 2003/0031260 A1, hereinafter *Tabatabai*).

Regarding claim 35, Moreau-Hsu-Chinnici-Martinez does not explicitly disclose:

- -reiterating the extraction step, if a characteristic of the description is missing.
- -that the description is a Moving Picture Experts Group 7 (MPEG7) description.
- -adding said characteristic to the description.

However Tabatabai teaches:

- -reiterating the extraction step, if a characteristic of the description is missing [Tabatabai: Figure 7 (loop)].
- -that the description is a *Moving Picture Experts Group 7 (MPEG7) description* [Tabatabai: Paragraph 0008].

Art Unit: 2451

-adding said characteristic to the description [Tabatabai: Step 318].

Moreau-Hsu-Chinnici-Martinez and Tabatabai are analogous art in the same field of endeavor as both deal with XML schemas for representing multimedia data. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the MPEG-7 scheme of Tabatabai for multimedia documents in the system of Moreau-Hsu-Chinnici-Martinez. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify the system of Moreau-Hsu-Chinnici-Martinez with the MPEG-7 scheme of Tabatabai because in doing so, the system would adhere to multimedia XML standards.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to IMAD HUSSAIN whose telephone number is (571) 270-3628. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 0800 to 1700.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Follansbee can be reached on (571) 272-3964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2451

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/I. H./ Imad Hussain Examiner, Art Unit 2451

/Salad Abdullahi/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2457